

LECTURE 07

Theory and Design of PL (CS 538)

February 12, 2020

MODELING DATATYPES

GENERAL PATTERN

1. Add a new type
2. Add constructor expressions
3. Add destructor expressions
4. Add typing rules for new expressions
5. Add evaluation rules for new expressions

EXAMPLE: PRODUCTS

INTRODUCING PRODUCTS

- Given types t_1 and t_2 , product type $t_1 \times t_2$
- Can extend to triples: $t_1 \times (t_2 \times t_3)$, etc.
 - Often write just $t_1 \times t_2 \times t_3$
- Constructor
 - Pairing: from terms e_1 and e_2 , form (e_1, e_2)

DESTRUCTORS: PROJECTIONS

- Given a pair term e , can project out terms:
 - First element: $p_1(e)$
 - Second element: $p_2(e)$

TYPING/EVALUATION RULES

EXAMPLE: SUM TYPES

INTRODUCING SUMS

- Given types t_1 and t_2 , sum type $t_1 + t_2$
- Can extend to triples: $t_1 + (t_2 + t_3)$, etc.
 - Often write just $t_1 + t_2 + t_3$
- Constructors
 - Left injection: from term e_1 , form $\text{inl}(e_1)$
 - Right injection: from term e_2 , form $\text{inr}(e_2)$

DESTRUCTORS: CASE

- Given a sum term e , add case analysis expression:

$$\text{case}(e) \text{ of } \text{inl}(x_1) \rightarrow e_1 \mid \text{inr}(x_2) \rightarrow e_2$$

- “If e is left option, do e_1 . If e is right option, do e_2 .”
- Branch e_1 can use variable x_1 , branch e_2 can use x_2

TYPING/EVALUATION RULES

EXAMPLE: LIST TYPES

INTRODUCING LISTS

- Given type t , have type $\text{List}(t)$ of lists of t
- Constructors
 - Empty: (from nothing) form expression Nil
 - Cons: from term e and tail e' , form $\text{Cons}(e, e')$

CONSUMING LISTS

- Very much like sums
- Given a list term e , add case analysis expression:

$$\text{case}(e) \text{ of Nil} \rightarrow e_1 \mid \text{Cons}(x, xs) \rightarrow e_2$$

- “If e is empty, do e_1 . If e is not empty, do e_2 .”
- Branch e_2 can use variables x and xs

TYPING/EVALUATION RULES

PARAMETRIC FUNCTIONS

“FOR ALL” PARAMETERS

- We’ve already seen: types have *type variables*:

```
fst :: (a, b) -> a
Cons :: a -> [a] -> [a]
```

- These must work *for all* types *a*
- Concrete type inferred automatically when calling:

```
fst (1, True) :: Int      -- type param a is Int
Cons True []  :: List Bool -- type param a is Bool
```

MUST BEHAVE UNIFORMLY

- Function behavior can't depend on particular type!
 - No “peeking” at what type `a` is
 - Not allowed: if `a` is `Bool` then ... if `a` is `Int` then ...
- Also called *polymorphism* in type theory
 - Note: *not* the same as OO “polymorphism”

WHY IS THIS GOOD?

- Polymorphism *constrains* what a function can do
- More constraints:
 - More annoying
 - Fewer wrong implementations
- Sometimes, only one function is possible

FREE THEOREMS

- What does our mystery function do?

```
mystery1 :: a -> a
```

- Polymorphism: must work the *same way* for all a
- Can prove: it can only be the *identity* function
 - (Ignoring non-termination...)

```
mystery1 x = x
```

FREE THEOREMS

- What does our mystery function do?

```
mystery2 :: (a, a) -> a
```

- Can prove: either always returns first, or second

```
mystery2 (x, y) = x -- Possibility 1  
mystery2 (x, y) = y -- Possibility 2
```

FREE THEOREMS

- What does our mystery function do?

```
mystery3 :: List a -> Maybe a
```

- If output is `Just x`, then `x` must be in input list
- Index can only depend on the *length* of the list

```
x1 = mystery3 [1, 2]
x2 = mystery3 ['a', 'b']
-- (x1, x2) is either:
-- (Nothing, Nothing), (Just 1, Just 'a'), (Just 2, Just 'b')
```

**SOMETIMES: TOO
LIMITING**

WHAT TYPES?

- To string
 - `toString :: a -> String`
- Equality
 - `(==) :: a -> a -> Bool`
- Ordering
 - `(<) :: a -> a -> Bool`
- These polymorphic functions must *ignore* input(s)!

“AD HOC” POLYMORPHISM

- Same function name, works on different types
- Behavior can depend on the concrete type
- Can't work on *all* types, but we should be able to easily extend function to handle new types

HASKELL'S SOLUTION:

TYPECLASSES

DECLARING A TYPECLASS

- Give list of associated operations (*methods*)
- Example: Equality typeclass:

```
class Eq a where
  (==) :: a -> a -> Bool
  (/=) :: a -> a -> Bool

x == y = not (x /= y)
x /= y = not (x == y)
```

- Last two lines are *default implementations*
 - Defining either == or /= is enough

SUBCLASSING

- Some typeclasses require other typeclasses
- Example: Ordered type needs a notion of equality

```
class Eq a => Ord a where  
  (<)    :: a -> a -> Bool  
  (>)    :: a -> a -> Bool  
  (<=)   :: a -> a -> Bool  
  (>=)  :: a -> a -> Bool
```

- Any type satisfying `Ord` needs to satisfy `Eq`
- Can require multiple parent typeclasses

TYPECLASS “CONSTRAINT”

- Functions can require type variables to be instances
- Add a “constraint” before the type signature

```
-- Can be applied as long as type `a` is an instance of `Eq a`  
elem :: Eq a => a -> [a] -> Bool  
elem x []      = False  
elem x (y:ys) = (x == y) || elem x ys  
  
-- `(==)` function from `Eq` typeclass
```

- Define functions at the right level of generality!

A TOUR OF TYPECLASSES

SHOW AND READ

- Show: can be converted to a string

```
class Show a where  
  show :: a -> String
```

- Read: can be converted from a string

```
-- Main useful function:  
readMaybe :: Read a => String -> Maybe a
```

ENUM AND BOUNDED

- Enum: can be enumerated

```
class Enum a where  
  toEnum    :: Int -> a  
  fromEnum  :: a  -> Int
```

- Bounded: has max and min element

```
class Bounded a where  
  minBound :: a  
  maxBound :: a
```


NUMERIC TYPECLASSES

- Most general is Num: things generalizing integers

```
class (Eq a, Show a) => Num a where
  (+)  :: a -> a -> a
  (-)  :: a -> a -> a
  (*)  :: a -> a -> a
  abs  :: a -> a           -- absolute value
  negate :: a -> a        -- negation
  signum :: a -> a        -- sign: +1 or -1
  fromInteger :: Integer -> a
```

- More specific typeclasses: Integral, Floating
- Numeric hierarchy for number-like things

MONOID

- Monoid is a type with:
 - A binary operation
 - An identity for the operation
- Think: lists, with list append and empty list

```
class Monoid a where  
  mempty  :: a          -- identity element  
  mappend :: a -> a -> a -- binary operation
```

- Lots more in Haskell's algebraic hierarchy

MAKING NEW

TYPECLASS INSTANCES

DIRECT METHOD

- Provide concrete definitions for typeclass operations
- Supply enough for minimally complete definition
- Undefined things given default implementations

```
data Nat = Zero | Succ Nat

instance Ord Nat where
  Zero < Zero      = False
  Succ _ < Zero    = False
  Zero  < Succ _   = True
  Succ n < Succ m = n < m

  Zero <= _      = True
  Succ _ <= Zero = False
  Succ n <= Succ m = n <= m
```

REQUIRE OTHER INSTANCES

- Often: defining instances for parametrized types
- Need to require type variables satisfy some instance

```
-- Custom type of pairs
data MyPair a = MkPair a a

instance Show a => Show MyPair a where
  show (MkPair x x') = "MyPair of " ++ (show x)
                        ++ " and " ++ (show x')

instance Ord a => Ord MyPair a where
  (MkPair x x') < (MkPair y y') = (x < y) || (x == y && x' < y')
```

AUTOMATIC METHOD

- Often: typeclass instances are boring (“boilerplate”)
 - Usually clear how to define `Eq` typeclass, ...
- Have compiler derive default instances for you

```
data Nat = Zero | Succ Nat deriving (Eq)
data Colors = Red | Green | Blue deriving (Enum, Eq, Show)
```